

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,922	04/05/2001	David E. Comings	1954-332	3812	
6449 75	90 10/27/2003		EXAMINER		
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE		
SUITE 800	1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20005		1634		
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2001	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			9/825,922 COMINGS, DAVID E.		D E.
			iner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
		Jeanii	ne A Goldberg	1634	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this comm r Reply	nunication appears or	the cover sheet	with the c rrespondenc ac	ldress
THE M - Extense after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of the provision of	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In rommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of the status of the	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) M e application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel IONTHS from the mailing date of this ce ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>25 July 200</u>	<u>)3</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)☐ This actio	n is non-final.		
3)  Disposition	Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the pon of Claims				ne merits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>55-59 and 63-67</u> is/are	pending in the applic	ation.		
4	la) Of the above claim(s)	s/are withdrawn from	n consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to	).			
•	Claim(s) <u>55-59 <i>and</i> 63-67</u> are su	bject to restriction an	d/or election req	uirement.	
Application	•				
<u> </u>	he specification is objected to by				
10)[	he drawing(s) filed on is/a				
44)[] т	Applicant may not request that any				
11)[1	he proposed drawing correction If approved, corrected drawings are			J disapproved by the Examin	er.
12\□ T	The oath or declaration is objecte				
,	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a to by the Examiner	•		
_	Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for foreign priorit	v undor 35 II S (	2 & 110(a) (d) or (f)	
	Acknowledgment is made of a cr ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of	•	y under 33 0.3.C	J. 9 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the prio		heen received		
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the prio</li></ul>	·		Application No.	
	<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified cop</li></ul>	•		· ·	Stone
	application from the Inget the attached detailed Office a	ternational Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)	)).	Stage
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a clai	m for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
`	☐ The translation of the foreign cknowledgment is made of a cla	·	* *		
Attachment	_				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revienation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144			ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/825,922 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the papers filed July 25, 2003. Currently, claims 55-59, 63-67 are pending.

- 2. As clearly established in MPEP 818.02(a) claims in an RCE must be drawn to the previously examined claims. The MPEP states, "by Originally Presented Claims-Where claims to another invention are properly added and entered in the application before an action is given, they are treated as original claims for purposes of restriction only. The claims originally presented and acted upon by the Office on their merits determine the invention elected by an applicant in the application, and in any request for continued examination (RCE) which has been filed for the application. Subsequently presented claims to an invention other than that acted upon should be treated as provided in MPEP § 821.03."
- 3. In the telephonic interview of July 2, 2003, the examiner indicated she would be willing to entertain claims drawn to a method for determining whether a gene contributes to ADHD, i.e. currently presented Claim 55-59 if applicant wished to switch inventions. Claims to Group I and II while dependent on Claim 55, do not require that the method of 55 be performed, but rather that the analysis be performed using a gene.

## Election/Restrictions

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 64-67, drawn to a method of determining whether a subject is at risk for ADHD by genotyping TPH, PNMT, ADOA2A, NOX3 or NAT1, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,922

Art Unit: 1634

II. Claim 63, drawn to a method of treating a subject for ADHD by administering a drug, classified in class 514, subclass 2 or 44.

III. Claims 55-59, drawn to a method for determining whether a gene contributes to ADHD by performing statistical analysis, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Page 3

## Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups:

5. The claims are drawn to detecting diseases, screening for drugs, or treating diseases using distinct gene sequences. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because the protein encoded by these sequences differ in structure and in function and in biological activity.

A restriction is applied to each Group. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid sequences, the Applicants must further elect a single amino acid sequence. For an elected Group drawn to nucleotide sequences, the Applicants are permitted to elect a single nucleic acid sequences (See MPEP 803.04).

The claims contains numerous individual, independent and distinct nucleotide sequences in alternative form. Accordingly, these claims are subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 as outlined in 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996).

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of

35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq.

The methods for detection of a disease using distinct gene sequences are patenably distinct methods. A method for determining whether a subject's genome comprises a non-wild-type allele from TPH is not obvious over a method of determining whether a subject's genome comprises a non-wild-type allele from PNMT, for example. Similarly, a method of screening for drug candidates for the distinct genes will be distinct. A drug which affects HTR2A would not be obvious over a drug which affects CD8. Finally, a method of treating a disease with one gene would not be obvious over each of the other gene. These methods are presumably patentably distinct sequences and each would hold a patent individually.

In the event that applicant's elect Group III which was prosecuted earlier, applicant is required to select the previously elected combination of genes which was searched and considered.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the methods involving different nucleic acids are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evident or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variant or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other inventions.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,922 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

6. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Group I-II are patentably distinct methods because they each have different objectives, different uses, different reagents and different method steps. The method of Group I is directed to determining whether a subject is at risk for ADHD. The method of Group II is for treating a subject with a drug. Finally Group III is directed to methods of evaluating whether a gene is associated with ADHD. Each of these methods do not have the same goals, the same method steps. Therefore the methods are distinct over one another.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A search of each of these groups is not coextensive of a search for each other group.
- 8. A telephone call was made to Patrick Skacel on October 24, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. The applicant requested the restriction in writing to allow the inventors for review the restriction.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/825,922 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) 306-5817. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jeanine Goldberg October 25, 2003